In the last issue I mentioned the plans of my library to lend out iPads “preloaded with a plethora of learning tools”. Now I would like to share our first experiences with you (because I know that many of you are curious about the project and its outcome).

Back to the future
We may laugh at the hype about the iPad (1), but tomorrow’s world is just not imaginable without ubiquitous and comfortable access to the Internet and sophisticated applications, which not only facilitate our life but have become a part of it. The iPad is not the absolutely perfect device, but it is a significant step towards one. A successor or competitor with half the size and double the power may come close to the magical capabilities of the all-knowing personal librarian “Mister D.”, which Morgan had described in a visionary essay (2).

When I first heard the rumour about this new gadget from Apple, I was not only fascinated but electrified by the news of a tablet PC with such extraordinary features. A gadget like this could completely change the way we handle information and the methodology of reading books and how we attract the media! The iPad may well foster the transition from a printed to a digital learning environment and, if so, will have a great impact on libraries.

Back to practice
With my naïve enthusiasm, I applied for 24 iPads from tuition fees, but was turned down - fortunately (obviously we could not handle that much at that time). After some phone calls, three iPads were sponsored to start with. In August, we began lending them out for a period of one week to researchers and physicians.

With the iPad, it is pretty much the same as lending out Personal Digital Assistants (PDA) (3). The devices are lent out and withdrawn by a single, appointed staff member, and the lender has to sign a loan contract in advance (4). In the beginning, only faculty members, not students, were allowed to put their hand on an iPad.

Lending is a great way to whet the appetite for a new way of using library resources. Our underlying strategy works like this: “the library will bring the user to the iPad and the iPad will bring the user to the library”. Let me explain this in a little bit more detail.

The core of building sustainable customer relationships is to tie the clients in some way to the library. Usually this can be achieved by a good book collection, kind and supportive staff, expertise in searching, etc. In the Web 2.0 age
this is backed up by promoting services via interactive social media such as Twitter. Another way to attract users is by lending out cool gadgets such as Personal Digital Assistants. But essential for the success of this service is that the library does not only lend out these items, but also provides users with applications and the ability to build an expertise around these devices with the result that the library gains the expertise and therefor can support the user. Our PDA project from 2004 to 2008 proved this: the lending part was not our greatest success but in fact the support part of the project was, where we distributed an impressive number of 1,013 apps to 382 clients. I think the iPad project will evolve in similar ways.

What will be our next steps?

1. Lending iPads to students too, but using a slightly different approach, only for one day, as a reference book of the non-lending collection. Librarians do not like to take risks and one never knows how students will handle “iPads to go”. Our slogan will be: “if this printed book is not available at the moment, you can use it on this iPad”. Once they use an iPad, they will notice these wonderful interactive multimedia apps for anatomy or pharmacology or whatever. As a great media device, the iPad is a perfect companion for the great content libraries have to offer. This combination is supposed to do an excellent job helping students to pass their exams. For this purpose 15 additional iPads will be provided.

2. We shall build a strong base of support for the growing iPad community in our faculty, including newsfeeds of new iPad apps (5), classification of apps according to the NLM (6), promotion of apps at our library blog, writing wiki entries on how to make the most out of your iPad, etc.

3. A group of iPad faculty members has been founded, which work professionally with iPads, and which lend iPads to students, patients, physicians. There are 35 iPads in use for endodontic learning classes, 18 in the library, 15 in the study hospital, and some more for patients to fill out questionnaires. This iPad group is especially useful for getting first hand information, support, and knowledge. It has enhanced significantly the library’s embedding and networking within the faculty.

Preliminary results

We had a wonderful start in the first 2 months with people virtually jumping on iPads as bears to honey and the feedback has been remarkably positive (7). All users were clinicians; departments ranged from cardiology, ethics, gynecology, neurosurgery to pediatrics. Among them were the usual “early adopters” but also newbies. All in all they were quite technophile as more than 80% had smartphones or PDAs already. The service was propagated by word of mouth very well: anybody using this gadget was asked: where did you get that from?

For about 60% of the lenders, iPads proved to be very useful, but for 40% not at all. The reasons why the iPad did not stand the test for almost half of the lenders has to be examined in detail. The lenders used the iPad and its apps for a great variety of purposes: most often E-Books were used, followed by literature search, literature management, lectures, lecture videos, and games. Patient education, diagnostics, or music were not used so often and podcasts or movies rarely.

Magazines and journals, such as Macworld, MedPage, Nature News, NEJM, and PLoS were used more often than communication tools such as newsreader, social networks, and chat apps. Half of the lenders used E-book-readers such as GoodReader or iBooks quite frequently, while the other half did not use them at all. Lecture videos from iTunes University, which we had synced with the iPads, were used only a few times.
From the 75 medical apps preinstalled on the iPads, the well-known literature management app Papers was the most used, followed by UpToDate (as a app-icon on the home screen), German pharmacopeiae, The Elements, DDx Differentials, PediSafe, and ColorTest.

Overall, more than 80% were satisfied or very satisfied with the opportunity of being able to borrow an iPad from the library.

**Conclusion**

Providing mobile access to library resources is a top trend in academic libraries (8). Mobile phones are the communications technology of the future (9). Digital natives expect every information (and person) to be immediately available, e.g. mobile. The iPad is an important step in the direction of an all-mobile world. By lending out iPads, the library can increase their reputation and networking among faculties and clinics. This is an invaluable and easy to achieve opportunity. Building a solid base of expertise around this cool gadget will put a “coolness factor” on the library itself and make it a lighthouse. Hi-tech users accept librarians as peers on the same level and our participation in pioneering projects, such as e-lecturing with iPads or accessing electronic patient records on iPads, has been highly appreciated.
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